## New Mexico EQIP- Santa Rosa Field Office - Guadalupe County FY 2006 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Irrigated Cropland Date: Total Points 0 Applicant: Tract No.: CMS Field No's. Farm No.: 1. Water Quantity - 150 Potential Points (33% of Total) Irrigation Efficiency - Use FIRS to Evaluate. Benchmark & After points equal actual % efficiency times any multiplier. Total equals after After Before After Potential Benchmark % of Area in Contract % of Area in **Points Points Points** % before Treatment Contract Efficiency After Treatment 1-20% 0 0 21-30% 20 0 0 31-40% 40 0 0 41-50% 60 0 0 51-60% 80 0 0 61-70% 100 0 0 71-80% 120 0 0 >80% 150 0 0 0% 1. Water Quantity Total 0 2. Water Quality - 100 Potential Points (22% of Total) A. Surface Water Pollutants - 50 Points Maximum There is a probability that runoff water from irrigated fields contains sediment, salt, pesticides, and/or nutrients (or other associated chemicals). Treatment is needed to prevent these pollutants from entering live waters, or re-entering a shared irrigation system. Points will be awarded based on distance from the end of field to the nearest live waters or re-entry point into a shared irrigation system. If there is no run-off, after points will be 0. Potential Benchmark Distance of Surface Run-Off to Live Water Points Points After Points **Points** Points <100 Ft. 50 101 - 500 Ft. 40 501 - 1,320 Ft. 30 1,321 - 2,640 Ft. 20 >2,640 Ft. 0 A. Surface Water Total B. Ground Water Pollutants - 50 Points Maximum There is a probability that irrigation water containing salt, pesticides, and/or nutrients (or other associated chemicals) is leaching into the ground water. Treatment is needed to prevent these pollutants from contaminating ground water, through leaching and direct return flow into wells. Points to be awarded based on Depth to Water Table Potential Benchmark Points Points After Points 1 - 10 Ft or elimination of any direct discharge into ground water. 50 10 - 50 Ft. 30 50 -100 Ft. 15

>100 Ft.	B. Ground	0	
	2. Water Quality	Total	0

New Mexico EQIP- Santa Rosa Fie	ld Office		
FY 2006 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Irri		_	
Applicant: 0 Date: 1/0/1900			
Farm No.: 0 Tract No.: 0 CMS Field No's.	TOTAL FOILE	0	
FY 2004 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Irrigated Cropland, page 2		U	
F1 2004 Kaliking Citteria Worksheet - Imgateu Cropianu, page 2			
3. Selected Conservation Practice(s) - 150 Potentia	al Points <i>(</i> 3	84% of Total)	
or constant tradition (a) Too reconst	Potential	Percent	After
	Points	Need to be	Points
	1 0		
Soil Erosion (Irrigation Induced)			
Irrigation Land Leveling (> 100 Cubic yards per acre) (464)	10		
Pasture Planting (512)	20		
Water Quality (Excessive Nutrients & Organics in Surface Water)			
Irrigation Land Leveling (> 100 Cubic yards per acre) (464)	10		
Water Quantity (Inefficient Water Use on Irrigated Land)			
Irrigation Water Conveyance			
Concrete Lined Ditch (428)	50		
Irrigation Pipeline (430)	50		
LEPA Conversion (442) or Microirrigation (441)	50		
Irrigation Land Leveling (> 100 Cubic yards per acre) (464)	10		
3. Selected Conservation Practices		0	
4. Other Considerations - 50 Potential Points	s (11% of	Total)	
	Potential	Benchmark	After
	Points	Points	Points
A. At risk species are in the area and the contract will enhance habitat for the			
species.	15		
B. Treatment of this land could have a beneficial impact on a 303d listed stream			
segment.	10		
309 project	10		
D. The land is within a NMED designated Catergory I watershed.	15		
4. Other Considerations	Total	0	

Total Points (After minus Benchmark)		Sec 1	0
		Sec 2	0
		Sec 3	0
Producer	Date	Sec 4	0
		Total Points	0
Designated Conservationist	Date		